



Table of Contents

1. PURPOSE AND NEED FOR ACTION..... 1-1

1.1 INTRODUCTION..... 1-1

 1.1.1 *Background*..... 1-1

 1.1.2 *Project History*..... 1-1

1.2 PROJECT SETTING 1-2

 1.2.1 *Study Area* 1-2

 1.2.2 *Geometric Features of the Existing Facility*..... 1-5

 1.2.3 *History of US 52 in Winston-Salem* 1-5

1.3 SUMMARY OF NEED FOR PROPOSED ACTION 1-6

1.4 SAFETY 1-6

1.5 SYSTEM LINKAGE 1-15

 1.5.1 *Existing Road Network*..... 1-15

 1.5.2 *Modal Interrelationships*..... 1-15

1.6 TRANSPORTATION DEMAND..... 1-16

 1.6.1 *Existing Road Network and System Linkage* 1-17

 1.6.2 *Existing and Projected Traffic Volumes* 1-17

 1.6.3 *Level of Service (LOS) Analysis*..... 1-31

 1.6.3.1 LOS for 2001 Volumes 1-37

 1.6.3.2 LOS for 2015 Volumes 1-37

 1.6.3.3 LOS for 2025 Volumes 1-37

1.7 TRANSPORTATION AND LAND USE PLANS AND OTHER TRANSPORTATION DOCUMENTS 1-42

 1.7.1 *Winston-Salem Urban Area 2030 Multi-Modal Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP)*..... 1-42

 1.7.2 *Winston-Salem/Forsyth County Thoroughfare Plan*..... 1-42

 1.7.3 *US 52 Corridor Land Use and Transportation Plan*..... 1-47

 1.7.4 *NCDOT State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP)*..... 1-47

 1.7.5 *Legacy Development Guide*..... 1-48

1.8 PURPOSE FOR PROPOSED ACTION..... 1-48

2. ALTERNATIVES..... 2-1

2.1 INTRODUCTION..... 2-1

2.2 NO-BUILD ALTERNATIVE..... 2-3

2.3 SOLUTIONS CONSIDERED AND DISMISSED AS SCENARIOS..... 2-3

 2.3.1 *Optional Projects*..... 2-3

 2.3.2 *Scenarios Considered but Rejected Before Preliminary Analysis* 2-3

2.4 PRELIMINARY INTERIM BUILD SCENARIOS 2-4

 2.4.1 *Construction Scenarios*..... 2-4

 2.4.2 *Intelligent Transportation Systems Scenarios* 2-6

 2.4.3 *Evaluation of Preliminary Scenarios*..... 2-7

 2.4.3.1 Scenarios Considered but Not Recommended for Further Study 2-7

 2.4.3.2 Scenarios Retained for Further Study 2-8

 2.4.3.3 Evaluation Matrix 2-9

 2.4.3.4 Results of the Evaluation 2-10

2.5 SELECTION OF ALTERNATIVES FOR FURTHER STUDY..... 2-15

 2.5.1 *Description of Alternatives*..... 2-15

 2.5.2 *Summary of Costs* 2-17

 2.5.3 *Evaluation of Alternatives* 2-17

 2.5.4 *Retained Alternatives*..... 2-21

3. AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT 3-1

3.1 EXISTING LAND USE AND ZONING 3-1

 3.1.1 *Existing Land Use*..... 3-1

 3.1.2 *Existing Zoning*..... 3-2



ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

US 52 OPERATIONAL IMPROVEMENTS

3.2	TRANSPORTATION AND LAND USE PLANNING	3-2
3.2.1	<i>2005 Winston-Salem Urban Area Thoroughfare Plan</i>	3-9
3.2.2	<i>US 52 Corridor Land Use and Transportation Plan</i>	3-9
3.2.3	<i>Winston-Salem Urban Area 2030 Multi-Modal LRTP</i>	3-10
3.2.4	<i>NC DOT State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP)</i>	3-10
3.2.5	<i>Legacy Development Guide</i>	3-13
3.3	SOCIOECONOMIC CONDITIONS	3-14
3.3.1	<i>Population and Demographic Characteristics</i>	3-14
3.3.1.1	Population and Growth Trends	3-14
3.3.1.2	Population Density	3-14
3.3.1.3	Ethnicity and Race	3-15
3.3.1.4	Income Levels	3-16
3.3.1.5	Age Distribution	3-16
3.3.1.6	Educational Attainment	3-17
3.3.1.7	Employment Status	3-23
3.3.2	<i>Economic and Social History/Characteristics</i>	3-23
3.3.2.1	History and Community Background	3-23
3.3.2.2	The Community Today	3-24
3.3.2.3	Community Issues	3-24
3.3.2.4	Economic Base and Employers	3-25
3.3.2.5	Housing	3-25
3.4	PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS RELATING TO COMMUNITY COHESION	3-26
3.4.1	<i>Historic Districts and Structures</i>	3-26
3.4.2	<i>Neighborhoods</i>	3-26
3.4.3	<i>Community Facilities</i>	3-28
3.5	INFRASTRUCTURE AND UTILITIES	3-33
3.5.1	<i>Electrical Power Transmission</i>	3-33
3.5.2	<i>Water</i>	3-33
3.5.3	<i>Sewer</i>	3-33
3.5.4	<i>Natural Gas</i>	3-34
3.5.5	<i>Railroads</i>	3-34
3.6	CULTURAL RESOURCES	3-37
3.6.1	<i>Archaeological Resources</i>	3-37
3.6.2	<i>Historic Architectural Resources</i>	3-37
3.7	SECTION 4(F) AND SECTION 6(F) RESOURCES	3-39
3.7.1	<i>Background</i>	3-39
3.7.2	<i>Section 4(f) and 6(f) Resources in Study Area</i>	3-43
3.8	VISUAL ENVIRONMENT	3-43
3.9	AIR QUALITY	3-43
3.9.1	<i>Transportation Conformity</i>	3-43
3.9.2	<i>Mobile Source Air Toxics</i>	3-44
3.10	NOISE	3-51
3.10.1	<i>Characteristics of Noise</i>	3-51
3.10.2	<i>Existing Noise Levels</i>	3-52
3.11	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	3-52
3.11.1	<i>No Further Remedial Action Planned Sites (NFRAP)</i>	3-53
3.11.2	<i>Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Information System (RCRIS) Generators</i>	3-53
3.11.3	<i>Emergency Response Notification System (ERNS)</i>	3-54
3.11.4	<i>State of North Carolina Hazardous Waste Site (SHWS)</i>	3-54
3.11.5	<i>State of North Carolina Solid Waste Facilities/Landfill Sites (SWF/Landfill)</i>	3-54
3.11.6	<i>State of North Carolina Underground Storage Tank Report (UST)</i>	3-54
3.11.7	<i>North Carolina Leaking Underground Storage Tank Report (LUST)</i>	3-55
3.11.8	<i>Facility Index System (FINDS)</i>	3-55
3.11.9	<i>Other GeoEnvironmental Concerns</i>	3-55



ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

US 52 OPERATIONAL IMPROVEMENTS

3.12	GEOLOGY, SOILS, AND MINERAL RESOURCES	3-55
3.12.1	<i>Soil Classifications</i>	3-55
3.12.2	<i>Hydric Soils</i>	3-57
3.13	WATER RESOURCES	3-61
3.13.1	<i>Water Resource Characteristics</i>	3-61
3.13.2	<i>Best Usage Classifications</i>	3-67
3.13.3	<i>Water Quality</i>	3-68
3.13.3.1	Nonpoint Source Discharges	3-68
3.13.4	<i>Floodways and Floodplains</i>	3-69
3.13.5	<i>Waters of the United States</i>	3-69
3.13.5.1	Surface Waters	3-70
3.13.5.2	Jurisdictional Wetlands	3-70
3.13.5.3	Wetland Functional Assessment	3-71
3.14	BIOTIC COMMUNITIES	3-71
3.14.1	<i>Terrestrial Biotic Communities</i>	3-71
3.14.1.1	Terrestrial Plant Communities	3-72
3.14.1.2	Terrestrial Wildlife	3-73
3.14.2	<i>Aquatic Communities</i>	3-74
3.14.3	<i>Protected Species</i>	3-75
3.14.3.1	Federally Protected Species	3-75
3.14.3.2	Federal Species of Concern	3-77
4.	ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES	4-1
4.1	IMPACTS TO LAND USE AND ZONING	4-1
4.2	SOCIOECONOMIC IMPACTS	4-2
4.2.1	<i>Environmental Justice Impacts</i>	4-18
4.2.2	<i>Economic Impacts</i>	4-19
4.2.2.1	Income Levels	4-19
4.2.2.2	Tax Base Changes	4-19
4.2.3	<i>Employment Impacts</i>	4-20
4.3	COMMUNITY IMPACTS	4-20
4.3.1	<i>Community Facility Impacts</i>	4-20
4.3.2	<i>Access Impacts</i>	4-21
4.3.3	<i>Relocation Impacts</i>	4-23
4.3.4	<i>Impacts to Community Cohesion</i>	4-24
4.4	INFRASTRUCTURE AND UTILITY IMPACTS	4-25
4.5	CULTURAL RESOURCES IMPACTS	4-25
4.5.1	<i>Archaeological Resources</i>	4-25
4.5.2	<i>Historic Architectural Resources</i>	4-25
4.6	IMPACTS TO SECTION 4(F) AND 6(F) PROPERTIES	4-26
4.7	IMPACTS TO THE VISUAL ENVIRONMENT	4-27
4.8	AIR QUALITY IMPACTS	4-27
4.8.1	<i>Air Quality Microscale Analysis</i>	4-27
4.8.2	<i>State Implementation Plan (SIP) Consistency</i>	4-30
4.9	NOISE IMPACTS	4-31
4.10	IMPACTS ON HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	4-31
4.11	GEOLOGY, SOILS, AND MINERAL RESOURCES IMPACTS	4-32
4.12	WATER RESOURCES IMPACTS	4-32
4.13	BIOTIC COMMUNITY IMPACTS	4-32
4.13.1	<i>Rare and Protected Species</i>	4-33
4.13.2	<i>Federally Protected Species</i>	4-33
4.13.3	<i>Federal Species of Concern</i>	4-33
4.14	CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS	4-34
4.14.1	<i>Water Quality and Drainage</i>	4-34
4.14.2	<i>Air Quality</i>	4-34
4.14.3	<i>Noise</i>	4-35



ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

US 52 OPERATIONAL IMPROVEMENTS

4.14.4	Construction Waste.....	4-35
4.14.5	Utility Service.....	4-35
4.14.6	Detours and Accessibility.....	4-35
5.	SELECTION OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE.....	5-1
5.1	DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE.....	5-1
5.2	MEETING THE PURPOSE AND NEED.....	5-4
5.3	IMPACTS OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE.....	5-8
5.3.1	Impacts to Land Use and Zoning.....	5-8
5.3.2	Socioeconomic Impacts.....	5-9
5.3.3	Environmental Justice Impacts.....	5-9
5.3.4	Economic Impacts.....	5-9
5.3.5	Community Impacts.....	5-10
5.3.6	Relocation Impacts.....	5-11
5.3.7	Impacts to Community Cohesion.....	5-12
5.3.8	Infrastructure and Utility Impacts.....	5-12
5.3.9	Cultural Resources Impacts.....	5-12
5.3.10	Impacts to Section 4(f) and 6(f) Properties.....	5-12
5.3.11	Impacts to the Visual Environment.....	5-13
5.3.12	Air Quality Impacts.....	5-13
5.3.13	Noise Impacts.....	5-13
5.3.14	Impacts on Hazardous Materials.....	5-14
5.3.15	Geology, Soils, and Mineral Resources Impacts.....	5-14
5.3.16	Water Resources Impacts.....	5-14
5.3.17	Biotic Community Impacts.....	5-14
5.3.18	Construction Impacts.....	5-15
5.4	COST ESTIMATE.....	5-16
6.	PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT AND COORDINATION.....	6-1
6.1	AGENCY INVOLVEMENT.....	6-1
6.2	PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT.....	6-1
7.	LIST OF PREPARERS AND REVIEWERS.....	7-1
8.	REFERENCES AND WEB SITES.....	8-1
Appendix A – Additional Hazardous Waste Site Details		
Appendix B – Agency Comments		
Appendix C – Public Involvement		
Appendix D – Relocation Reports		
Appendix E – Section 106 and Section 4(f) Support Documentation		



List of Charts

CHART 1-1. CONFLICT POINTS 1-9
 CHART 1-2. CRASHES AT US 52 INTERCHANGE AREAS 1-10
 CHART 1-3. CRASH TYPE SUMMARY 1-11
 CHART 3-1. ANNUAL AVERAGE UNEMPLOYMENT RATES, 1990 TO 2006 3-23

List of Tables

TABLE 1-1. US 52 CRASH ANALYSIS FROM I-40 TO 4TH STREET 1-7
 TABLE 1-2. LEVEL OF SERVICE FOR FREEWAY SEGMENTS 1-38
 TABLE 1-3. LEVEL OF SERVICE FOR RAMPS AND WEAVING SECTIONS 1-39
 TABLE 1-4. LOS FOR UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS 1-40
 TABLE 1-5. LOS FOR SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS 1-41
 TABLE 1-6. LRTP SCHEDULE OF IMPROVEMENTS FOR US 52 1-42
 TABLE 2-1. RANKING OF EVALUATION FACTORS 2-9
 TABLE 2-2-1. EVALUATION MATRIX – BASELINE AND SCENARIOS 1, 2, 3, 4, AND 5A 2-11
 TABLE 2-2-2. EVALUATION MATRIX - BASELINE AND SCENARIOS 5B, 6A, 6B, 6C, AND 7 2-12
 TABLE 2-2-3. EVALUATION MATRIX - BASELINE AND SCENARIOS 10, 11A, 11B, 12, AND 13 2-13
 TABLE 2-2-4. EVALUATION MATRIX - BASELINE AND SCENARIOS A1, A2, A3, AND C 2-14
 TABLE 2-3. SUMMARY OF ALTERNATIVES 2-16
 TABLE 2-4. OPINION OF PLANNING LEVEL COST (2003) 2-17
 TABLE 2-5. PERFORMANCE RESULTS 2-20
 TABLE 2-6. OPINION OF PLANNING LEVEL COST (2007) 2-28
 TABLE 3-1. LRTP SCHEDULE OF IMPROVEMENTS FOR US 52 3-10
 TABLE 3-2. STIP PROJECTS IN STUDY AREA (DRAFT 2008-2015 STIP) 3-11
 TABLE 3-3. POPULATION DENSITY, 2000 CENSUS 3-14
 TABLE 3-4. POPULATION DIVERSITY, 2000 CENSUS 3-15
 TABLE 3-5. HOUSEHOLD MEDIAN INCOME LEVELS, 2000 CENSUS 3-16
 TABLE 3-6. AGE DISTRIBUTION, 2000 CENSUS 3-17
 TABLE 3-7. EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT, 2000 CENSUS 3-17
 TABLE 3-8. COMMUNITY FACILITIES 3-29
 TABLE 3-9. RESULTS OF HISTORICAL ARCHITECTURE SURVEY 3-38
 TABLE 3-10. SECTION 4(F) AND SECTION 6(F) RESOURCES 3-43
 TABLE 3-11. COMMON INDOOR AND OUTDOOR NOISES 3-52
 TABLE 3-12. CHARACTERISTICS OF STREAMS WITHIN NRTR STUDY AREA 3-61
 TABLE 3-13. DESCRIPTIONS OF STREAMS WITHIN NRTR STUDY AREA 3-62
 TABLE 3-14. DIVISION OF WATER QUALITY WETLAND RANKINGS 3-71
 TABLE 3-15. FEDERALLY PROTECTED SPECIES LISTED FOR FORSYTH COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA 3-77
 TABLE 3-16. FEDERAL SPECIES OF CONCERN LISTED FOR FORSYTH COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA 3-78
 TABLE 4-1. 2000 SOCIOECONOMIC DATA FOR IMPACTED CENSUS BLOCKS 4-17
 TABLE 4-2. POTENTIAL SOCIOECONOMIC IMPACTS 4-17
 TABLE 4-3. 2000 ECONOMIC DATA FOR IMPACTED CENSUS BLOCK GROUPS 4-19
 TABLE 4-4. POTENTIAL ECONOMIC IMPACTS 4-20
 TABLE 4-5. POTENTIAL EMPLOYMENT IMPACTS 4-20
 TABLE 4-6. POTENTIAL ACCESS IMPACTS 4-23
 TABLE 4-7. POTENTIAL RELOCATION IMPACTS 4-24
 TABLE 4-8. POTENTIAL COMMUNITY COHESION IMPACTS 4-25
 TABLE 4-9. POTENTIAL INFRASTRUCTURE AND UTILITY IMPACTS 4-25
 TABLE 4-10. POTENTIAL CULTURAL RESOURCE IMPACTS 4-26
 TABLE 4-11. POTENTIAL VISUAL ENVIRONMENT IMPACTS 4-27
 TABLE 4-12. COMPARISON OF MODEL RESULTS TO AMBIENT AIR QUALITY STANDARDS FOR CO FOR
 ALTERNATIVE 9 4-29
 TABLE 4-13. POTENTIAL AIR QUALITY IMPACTS 4-30



TABLE 4-14. POTENTIAL NOISE IMPACTS	4-31
TABLE 4-15. POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS MATERIAL IMPACTS.....	4-32
TABLE 4-16. POTENTIAL GEOLOGY, SOILS, AND MINERAL RESOURCE IMPACTS	4-32
TABLE 4-17. POTENTIAL WATER RESOURCE IMPACTS	4-32
TABLE 4-18. TOTAL IMPACTED AREA	4-33
TABLE 4-19. POTENTIAL PROTECTED SPECIES IMPACTS	4-34
TABLE 4-20. POTENTIAL CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS*.....	4-36
TABLE 5-1. SUMMARY OF IMPACTS FOR PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE	5-8
TABLE 5-2. OPINION OF PLANNING LEVEL COST FOR PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE (2007).....	5-16
TABLE A-1. LARGE AND SMALL QUANTITY GENERATORS	1
TABLE A-2. HAZARDOUS WASTE SITES	2
TABLE A-3. UST SITES	3
TABLE A-4. LUST SITES WITHIN THE ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING STUDY AREA.....	8

List of Figures

FIGURE 1.1. PROJECT VICINITY MAP.....	1-3
FIGURE 1.2. CRASH FREQUENCY ALONG US 52.....	1-13
FIGURE 1.3(A-B). 2001 AM & PM EXISTING PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES.....	1-19
FIGURE 1.4(A-B). 2015 PROJECTED AM & PM PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES (WITHOUT THE NORTHERN BELTWAY)	1-23
FIGURE 1.5(A-B). 2025 PROJECTED AM & PM PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES (WITH THE NORTHERN BELTWAY)	1-27
FIGURE 1.6. LOCATION OF FREEWAY SEGMENTS AND RAMP/WEAVE SECTIONS	1-33
FIGURE 1.7. LOCATION OF SIGNALIZED AND UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS.....	1-35
FIGURE 1.8. 2030 LONG RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN	1-43
FIGURE 1.9. 2005 WINSTON-SALEM URBAN AREA THOROUGHFARE PLAN.....	1-45
FIGURE 2.1. FLOWCHART OF EVALUATION PROCESS	2-2
FIGURE 2.2. ALTERNATIVE 9	2-29
FIGURE 2.3. ALTERNATIVE 10	2-31
FIGURE 2.4. ALTERNATIVE 12	2-33
FIGURE 3.1. PROJECT STUDY AREAS	3-3
FIGURE 3.2. LOCATION OF MAJOR NEIGHBORHOODS	3-5
FIGURE 3.3. EXISTING ZONING	3-7
FIGURE 3.4. PERCENT MINORITY	3-19
FIGURE 3.5. PERCENT HISPANIC.....	3-21
FIGURE 3.6. COMMUNITY FACILITIES.....	3-31
FIGURE 3.7. INFRASTRUCTURE & UTILITIES.....	3-35
FIGURE 3.8. HISTORIC ARCHITECTURE RESOURCES	3-41
FIGURE 3.9. HYDRIC SOILS.....	3-59
FIGURE 3.10(A-B). WATER RESOURCES	3-63
FIGURE 4.1(A-E). IMPACT AREAS	4-3
FIGURE 4.2 (A-B). IMPACTED CENSUS BLOCKS	4-13
FIGURE 5.1. CONFLICT POINTS	5-7