

May 21, 2008

Brownstone I, LLC c/o Bob Parent 3715 Brownstone Lane Winston-Salem, NC 27106

RE: ZONING MAP AMENDMENT F-1499

Dear Mr. Parent:

The attached report of the Planning Board to the Forsyth County Board of Commissioners is sent to you at the request of the Commissioners. You will be notified by the Board of Commissioners' Office of the date on which the Commissioners will hear this petition.

Sincerely,

A. Paul Norby, FAICP Director of Planning

pc: Jane Cole, Forsyth County Government Center - 5th Floor, 201 N. Chestnut Street, Winston-Salem, NC 27101

# **FORSYTH COUNTY**

# **BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**

MEETING D	AGENDA ITEM NUMBER:
SUBJECT:-	
Α.	Public Hearing on Special Use District - No Site Plan for Zoning map amendment of Brownstone I, LLC from RM-12 to NO-L (Residential Building, Single Family; Offices, Miscellaneous; Professional Office; Medical and Surgical Offices; and Non-Store Retailer): property is located on the southeast corner of Bethania-Rural Hall Road and Jackson Street (Zoning Docket F-1499).
В.	Ordinance amending the Forsyth County Zoning Ordinance and Official Zoning Map of the County of Forsyth, North Carolina.
C.	Approval of Special Use District - No Site Plan Permit
COUNTY M.	ANAGER'S RECOMMENDATION OR COMMENTS:-
SUMMARY	OF INFORMATION:-
See atta	ched staff report.
	onsideration, the Planning Board recommended approval of the special use - no site plan.
ATTACHME	CNTS:- X YES NO
SIGNATURE	E: DATE: County Manager
	County Manager

COUNTY ORDINANCE -SPECIAL USE DISTRICT - NO SITE PLAN

Zoning Petition of <u>Brownstone I, LLC</u>, Docket <u>F-1499</u>

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE FORSYTH COUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE AND THE OFFICIAL ZONING MAP OF FORSYTH COUNTY, N.C.

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Commissioners of Forsyth County as follows:

Section 1. The Forsyth County Zoning Ordinance and the Official Zoning Map of the County of Forsyth, N.C. are hereby amended by changing from <u>RM-12</u> to <u>NO-L Residential</u> <u>Building, Single Family; Offices, Miscellaneous; Professional Office; Medical and Surgical</u> Offices; and Non-Store Retailer the zoning classification of the following described property:

Tax Lot 004, Tax Block 4919

Section 2. This Ordinance is adopted after approval of the Special Use District - No Site Plan Permit issued by the Board of Commissioners the \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_,

20 to Brownstone I, LLC.

Section 3. The Board of Commissioners hereby directs the issuance of a Special Use District - No Site Plan Permit pursuant to the Zoning Ordinance of the *Unified Development Ordinances* for a development to be known as <u>Brownstone I, LLC</u>. Said Special Use District - No Site Plan Permit with conditions is attached hereto and incorporated herein.

<u>Section 4</u>. This Ordinance shall be effective from and after its adoption.

### **COUNTY**

### SPECIAL USE DISTRICT - NO SITE PLAN PERMIT

Issued by the Board of Commissioners of Forsyth County, NC

The Board of Commissioners of Forsyth County, NC issues a Special Use District - No Site Plan Permit for the zoning petition of Brownstone I, LLC, (Zoning Docket F-1499). The site shall be developed in accordance with the conditions approved by the Board and the following uses: Residential Building, Single Family; Offices, Miscellaneous; Professional Office; Medical and Surgical Offices; and Non-Store Retailer, approved by the Forsyth County Board of Commissioners the \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_\_" and signed, provided the property is developed in accordance with requirements of the NO-L zoning district of the Zoning Ordinance of the *Unified Development Ordinances*, the Erosion Control Ordinance, and other applicable laws, and the following additional conditions be met:

## CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARD STAFF REPORT

	PET	ITION INFORMATION			
Docket #	F-1499				
Staff	Gary Roberts Jr., AICP				
Petitioner(s)	Brownstone I, LLC				
Owner(s)	Same				
<b>Subject Property</b>	Tax Lot 004 / 7	Γax Block 4919			
Type of Request	Special Use Lin	nited – No Site Plan rezonin	ıg		
Proposal	The petitioner is requesting to amend the Official Zoning Maps for the subject property <b>from</b> RM-12 Residential, Multifamily District: 12 units per acre maximum density <b>to</b> NO-L Neighborhood Office District, Special Use Limited – No Site Plan rezoning. The petitioner is requesting the following uses:  • Residential Building, Single Family; Offices, Miscellaneous; Professional Office; Medical and Surgical Offices; and Non-Store Retailer				
Zoning District Purpose Statement	Retailer  The NO District is primarily intended to accommodate very low intensity office uses within converted single family detached units. The district is intended to be located on the periphery of established residential areas and along major and minor thoroughfares. The district is established to provide convenient locations for offices, the size and operating characteristics of which require limited parking and which generate little traffic. Standards are designed so that this district may serve as a transitional land use between residential districts and commercial districts. This district is intended for application in Growth Management Areas 2, 3, and 4.				
Applicable	(S)(1) - Is the p	proposal consistent with th	e purpose statement(s) of the		
Rezoning	requested zoni	ng district(s)?			
Consideration from Chapter B, Article VI, Section 6-2.1(S)	Yes, the site is located within GMA 3 and is located on a major thoroughfare.				
	GENER	RAL SITE INFORMATIO	N		
Location		er of Bethania-Rural Hall Ro			
Jurisdiction		(Town of Rural Hall)			
Site Acreage	Approximately	± .47 acre			
Current	A structure whi	ch appears to be a single far	nily residence is currently		
Land Use	located on the s	ite.			
Surrounding	Direction	Zoning District	Use		
<b>Property Zoning</b>	North	RS-9	Neighborhood scale Church		
and Use	East	RM-12	Single family home		
	South	GI	Industrial use		
	West	LB	Funeral home		

A 70 7 7	(C) (A) T / (I	()		•		
Applicable	(S)(2) - Is/are th	· · · -				
Rezoning	classification/request compatible with uses permitted on other					
Consideration	properties in the vicinity?					
from Chapter B,	Yes, the site adjoins properties with zoning classifications of varying					
Article VI,	intensities including GI, LB and RM-12.					
Section 6-2.1(S)	The site is developed and has a centle slame developed toward the					
Physical	The site is developed and has a gentle slope downward toward the					
Characteristics	southeast.					
Proximity to	Public water and sewer are available to the site.					
Water and Sewer						
Stormwater/	No known issues.					
Drainage						
Analysis of				straints and to be suitable for		
General Site	development wit	hin the propose	d NO-L Distr	ict.		
Information						
	ACCESS AND					
Street Name	Classification	Frontage	ADT Count	Capacity/LOS D		
Bethania-Rural	Major	110'	9,000	16,100		
Hall Road	Major Thoroughfare	110	9,000	10,100		
Jackson Street	Local street	189'	NA	NA		
Proposed Access				ite Plan rezoning request, the		
Point(s)				etermined. The site does		
	_	frontage on Be	tnania-Kurai	Hall Road and Jackson		
Twin Companytion	Street.	DM 12				
Trip Generation -	Existing Zoning:		Data) - 26	Tuing non Dov		
Existing/Proposed	4 units x 6.59 (N	runnannny 111 <sub>1</sub>	) Kale) – 20	Trips per Day		
	Dranged Zoning	. NO I				
	Proposed Zoning		labla for the	proposed Special Use		
	Limited- No Site		iable for the j	proposed Special Ose		
Sidewalks	Sidovyalka ara la	ontad directly v	vost of the sub	ject property on both sides		
Siucwaiks	of Bethania-Rura		est of the suc	ject property on both sides		
Transit	Not available.	ii iiuii itouu.				
Analysis of Site		not annear to o	enerate any r	legative transportation		
Access and	impacts.	not appear to g	concrate any n	regative transportation		
<b>Transportation</b>	impacts.					
Information						
	ONFORMITY TO	O PLANS ANI	PLANNING	GISSHES		
Legacy GMA	Growth Manager					
Relevant Legacy	•			a manner that is compatible		
Recommendations				service uses within walking		
1 commendations		_		Encourage convenient		
	_	services to supp	_	=		
	Commercial	services to supp	ort nergnoon	ioda necas.		

Relevant Area Plan(s) Comments from the Town of Ru Hall	The deve	<ul> <li>Legacy also recommends managing growth by making more efficient use of land that has already been developed, encouraging reuse and infill and capitalizing on other development opportunities.</li> <li>The subject property is not within the boundaries of an area plan or development guide.</li> <li>The Town of Rural Hall is supportive of the subject request.</li> </ul>						
Applicable	(S)(3	(S)(3) - Have changing conditions substantially affected the area in						
Rezoning		the petition?						
Consideration from Chapter F	No No	A 7 0				• (1 - 1	0	
Article VI,	(9)(4	4) - Is the requ	ested a	ction	in conform	nance with <i>L</i>	egacy?	
Section 6-2.1(S)								
Analysis of Conformity to Plans and Planning Issues	Staff bein and cons	The subject property is zoned RM-12 which is a fairly intense district. Staff views the site as suitable for the low-intensity office district that is being requested. The site is located adjacent to properties zoned GI, LB and RM-12 which allow for a wide range of intense uses. The request is consistent with the recommendations of <i>Legacy</i> which mentions "encouraging reuse and infill and capitalizing on other development opportunities".						
	Торрс	RELEVAN'	ΓΖΟΝ	NG 1	HISTORII	F <b>S</b>		
Case Re	equest	Decision &	Direc		Acreage		nmendation	
		Decision & Date	Direc from	tion	Acreage	Recon Staff	ССРВ	
F-1475 RS-9	& LB to	Decision & Date Approved	Direc from 300'	tion Site		Recon		
	& LB to	Decision & Date	Direc from 300' North	tion Site west	Acreage 2.07	Recon Staff Approval	ССРВ	
F-1475 RS-9 LB UDO Sections Relevant to	& LB to	Decision & Date Approved 2-12-07	Direc from 300' North	tion Site west	Acreage 2.07	Recon Staff Approval	ССРВ	
F-1475 RS-9 LB UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Reques	& LB to	Decision & Date Approved 2-12-07 Chapter B, Arti	Direc from 300' North	tion Site west Section	2.07 on 2-1.3(A)	Recon Staff Approval	ССРВ	
F-1475 RS-9 LB UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Reques Complies with	& LB to	Decision & Date Approved 2-12-07 Chapter B, Articles:	Direc from 300' North	tion Site West Section	2.07 on 2-1.3(A)	Recon Staff Approval	ССРВ	
F-1475 RS-9 LB UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Reques Complies with Chapter B, Article VII,	& LB to  (A)  (B)	Decision & Date Approved 2-12-07 Chapter B, Article Legacy policies:	Direc from 300' Northvicle II,	tion Site west Section Yes NA	2.07 on 2-1.3(A)	Recon Staff Approval	ССРВ	
F-1475 RS-9 LB UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Reques Complies with Chapter B, Article VII, Section 7-5.3	& LB to  (A)  (B) A  (C) S	Decision & Date  Approved 2-12-07  Chapter B, Article Legacy policies:  Environmental On Subdivision Regulary	Direc from 300' Northvicele II,	yes  NA NA	2.07 on 2-1.3(A)	Recon Staff Approval NO District	CCPB Approval	
F-1475 RS-9 LB UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Reques Complies with Chapter B, Article VII, Section 7-5.3	& LB to  (A)  (B) A  (C) S  CONCLU	Decision & Date  Approved 2-12-07  Chapter B, Article Legacy policies:  Environmental On Subdivision Regues	Direc from 300' Northvicele II,	yes  NA NA	2.07 on 2-1.3(A)	Recon Staff Approval NO District	CCPB Approval	
F-1475 RS-9 LB UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Reques Complies with Chapter B, Article VII, Section 7-5.3	& LB to  (A)  (B) A  (C) S  CONCLU  Aspects of	Decision & Date  Approved 2-12-07  Chapter B, Article Environmental On Subdivision Regular SIONS TO AS Froposal	Direc from 300' Northvicele II,	yes  NA NA	2.07 on 2-1.3(A)	Recon Staff Approval NO District	CCPB Approval	
F-1475 RS-9 LB  UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Reques Complies with Chapter B, Article VII, Section 7-5.3  Positive A The request is correcommendation	& LB to  (A)  (B) A  (C) S  CONCLU  Aspects of consistent was of Legan	Decision & Date  Approved 2-12-07  Chapter B, Article Legacy policies:  Environmental On Subdivision Regularity SIONS TO AS F Proposal with the ccy.	Direc from 300' Northvicele II,	yes  NA NA	2.07 on 2-1.3(A)	Recon Staff Approval NO District	CCPB Approval	
F-1475 RS-9 LB  UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Reques Complies with Chapter B, Article VII, Section 7-5.3  Positive A The request is correcommendation The requested di	& LB to  (A)  (B) A  (C) S  CONCLU  Aspects of onsistent voice of Legal istrict is consistent in the constant of the constant	Decision & Date  Approved 2-12-07  Chapter B, Article Environmental On Subdivision Regulation Signature (Signature) Signature (Signa	Direc from 300' Northvicele II,	yes  NA NA	2.07 on 2-1.3(A)	Recon Staff Approval NO District	CCPB Approval	
F-1475 RS-9 LB  UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Reques Complies with Chapter B, Article VII, Section 7-5.3  Positive A The request is correcommendation The requested di the surrounding	& LB to  (A)  (B) A  (C) S  CONCLU  Aspects of onsistent voice of Legal istrict is consistent in the constant of the constant	Decision & Date  Approved 2-12-07  Chapter B, Article Environmental On Subdivision Regulation Signature (Signature) Signature (Signa	Direc from 300' Northvicele II,	yes  NA NA	2.07 on 2-1.3(A)	Recon Staff Approval NO District	CCPB Approval	
F-1475 RS-9 LB  UDO Sections Relevant to Subject Reques Complies with Chapter B, Article VII, Section 7-5.3  Positive A The request is correcommendation The requested di	& LB to  (A)  (B) A  (C) S  CONCLU  Aspects of onsistent votes of Legal istrict is conzoning an	Decision & Date  Approved 2-12-07  Chapter B, Article Legacy policies: Environmental Or Subdivision Regulation Sions TO As f Proposal with the cy. Compatible with d development	Direc from 300' Northvicele II,	yes  NA NA	2.07 on 2-1.3(A)	Recon Staff Approval NO District	CCPB Approval	

# **STAFF RECOMMENDATION**: **APPROVAL**

NOTE: These are staff comments only; <u>final recommendations</u> on projects are made by the City-County Planning Board, with <u>final decisions</u> being made by the appropriate Elected Body, who may approve, deny, table or request modification for any project. THE APPLICANT OR REPRESENTATIVE IS STRONGLY ENCOURAGED TO ATTEND THE PUBLIC HEARINGS WHERE THE CASE WILL BE CONSIDERED BY THE PLANNING BOARD AND THE ELECTED BODY.

## **PUBLIC HEARING**

FOR: None

AGAINST: None

## **WORK SESSION**

MOTION: Paul Mullican moved approval of the zoning map amendment and recommends staff

conditions.

SECOND: Clarence Lambe

VOTE:

FOR: Jerry Clark, Wesley Curtis, Carol Eickmeyer, Arnold King, Arthur King, Clarence

Lambe, Lynne Mitchell, Paul Mullican, Brenda Smith

AGAINST: None EXCUSED: None

According to information furnished on March 28, 2008, the subject property was in the name of Brownstone I, LLC.

A. Paul Norby, AICP Director of Planning